

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 In Re No. C-12-0273 EMC (pr)
9 MICHAEL GRIMES, No. C-12-0274 EMC (pr)
10 Plaintiff ORDER OF DISMISSAL

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

13 These two actions were opened when the Court received letters from Michael Grimes in
14 which he complained about prison conditions at Mule Creek State Prison. The Court notified Mr.
15 Grimes in writing that each action was deficient in that he had not attached a complaint or petition
16 and had not filed an *in forma pauperis* application. The Court further notified him that each action
17 would be dismissed if he did not submit a complaint or petition, and an *in forma pauperis*
18 application, within thirty days. Mr Grimes did not file a complaint or a petition, and did not file an
19 *in forma pauperis* application, in either action. Instead, he wrote a letter to the Court in which he
20 asked that the Court to "cancel" the two actions. (Docket # 5 in Case No. C-12-0274 EMC.) In his
21 letter, Mr. Grimes seemed to indicate that the letters were intended to be exhibits in his earlier-filed
22 action, *i.e.*, *Grimes v. Mule Creek State Prison Health Care*, Case No. C-12-0029 EMC, and were
23 not intended to commence new actions.

24	///
25	///
26	///
27	///
28	///

1 Accordingly, these actions are **DISMISSED** without prejudice because they were opened in
2 error. No fee is due.

3 The Clerk will e-file in Case No. C-12-0029 EMC a copy of Docket # 1 from Case No. C-12-
4 0273 EMC and a copy of Docket # 1 from Case No. C-12-0274 EMC. The Clerk then will close the
5 file.

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.
8

9 Dated: April 23, 2012


10 EDWARD M. CHEN
11 United States District Judge